

Copy for SP 3/I/194

SHARIAT PETITION NO. 32/I/1993.

Rahmat Khan Vs. Federation of Pakistan.

This Shariat Petition is filed by Rahmat Khan through his Counsel challenging section 4 of the Muslim Family Laws Ordinance 1961 on the ground of its being repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Quran and Sunna of the Holy Prophet (PBUH).

The impugned section provides that

"In the event of the death of any son or daughter of the propositus before the opening of the succession, the children of such son or daughter, if any, living at the time of the succession opens, shall per stripes receives a share equivalent to the share which such son or daughter as the case may be, would have recieved if alive. "

This provisions was for the first time challenged before the Shariat Bench of Peshawar High Court in a Petition titled Mst. Farishta Vs. State

and the August Court had declared it repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam. As a result of an appeal preferred before the Supreme Court Shariat Appellate Bench, the impugned judgment was set aside on the ground that section 4 of Muslim Family Laws comes within the purview of Muslim Personal Law and thus was beyond the jurisdiction of the High Court.

The petitioner has referred to a decision of Shariat Appellate Bench of Supreme Court, wherein it was held "All codified or statute laws which apply to the general body of the Muslims will not be immuned from the scrutiny by the Federal Shariat Court in exercise of its power under Article 203D of the Constitution. Invoking assistance of this remark, the petitioner seeks declaration to the effect that the provision of section 4 of the Muslim Family Laws are repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam.

When we examine this issue on the touchstone of Islamic Injunctions, we find that commentators, Ulema and Fuqaha of all schools of thought are unanimously agreed on the point that Inheritance by offspring of predeceased

son or daughter is contradictory to the Islamic Injunctions. While section 4 of the Muslim Family Laws 1961 providing for inheritance of predeceased offspring living at the time of opening succession. The Jurists, Ulema derive argument from the following Quranic Verses and the Traditions of the Holy Prophet :- It is appeared in the Holy Quran that :

يُوصِيكُمُ فِي أَوْلَادِكُمْ لِلزَّكَوٰتِ
حِصَّةٍ الْبَنِينَ -

"Allah enjoins you concerning your children that the share of the male shall be twice that of female (4:11).

While elaborating this Quranic Verse the

commentator Iman Abu Bakr Jarssab writes that :

ولد تختلف اهل العلم في ان المراد بقوله تعالى
"يُوصِيكُمُ فِي أَوْلَادِكُمْ" اولاد الصلب وانه اذا لم
يكن ولد صلب - فالمراد البنين دون اولاد البنات
نقد انتظم اولاد الصلب ^{اللفظ} و اولاد الابن
اذا لم يكن ولد الصلب

"The Jurists are unanimously agreed on the point that the word " **أولادكم** " in the aforementioned Quranic Verse denotes only real son or daughter not the grandson.

If there is no real son or daughter, the grandson shall inherit. In the presence of real son or daughter, the

grandson shall not be included in the meaning of " **أولاد** "

(**يرصيكم وأولادكم**). The same view point is expressed by the

other commentators of " **مفهرين** " Ulema and Jurists of Islam.

Regarding distribution of inheritance, Islam has adopted the

principles of " **الأقرب فالأقرب** " i.e. the nearest relation of

the deceased person have the primary right to inherit.

Distituteness or deservingness of the relative, have not been

taken into consideration. Under this principle, the grandson

is excluded in the presence of real son. It is appeared

in the Tradition of the Holy Prophet that :

عز ابن عباس قال رسول الله ﷺ صل الله عليه وسلم
الحقوا الفرائض بأهلها فما بقى لأهلها رجل ذكر
صحيح بخاري ج 8 ص 478

Narrated Ibn Abbas the Holy Prophet said: Give the shares

of the inheritance as prescribed in the Holy Quran to those

who are entitled to receive it than whatever remains, should

be given to the closest male relative of the deceased,

724
(صحیح بخاری حدیث)

It is also appeared in the tradition that :

ولد الابناء بمنزلة الولد اذا لم يكن ولد لهم
ولد ذكرهم كنكرهم ونساءهم كنسائهم يرثون
كما يرثون والحيثون كما يحيون ولا يرثون ولد
الابن مع الابن . بخاری ص ٧٢٤

"The grand children are to be considered as one's

children (in the distribution of inheritance) in case none of
one's own children are still alive a grand son is as "son, a
grand daughter as a daughter, inherit (their grand parents'
property as their own parents would (where they alive) and
they prevent the sharing of the inheritance with all
those relatives who would have been prevented from the
same, where their parents alive. So one's grand son does
not share the inheritance with one's own son (if the son is
alive).

The conclusion of the above discussion is that
there is no single provision in the Holy Quran or Sunnah
of the Holy Prophet which support the inheritance of
predeceased son or daughter (when the real son is alive).

There has been consensus of opinion on the point and

Four Sunni Schools of thought including Shaheite are

unanimously agreed on the point that when the real

son is alive the pre-deceased son ^a daughter has not ^{right} to

inherit. However, some Modernist Ulema are of the opinion


that the pre-deceased son or daughter must enjoy the right

of inheritance. To meet the situation and keeping in

view the importance of the issue, there must be legislation

or special provision to remedies the grievances of the

pre-deceased son.

 15/12/73

(Fazal Elahi)
Research Adviser